

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/725,034	MATSUMOTO, NAOYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gautam Sain	2176	

All Participants:

(1) Gautam Sain.

(2) Edward Kmett.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

11, 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SANJIV SHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

GS
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noticed a potential typographical error that claim 17 depended on claim 16 (cancelled claim) and requested clarification that claim 17 should depend on claim 11. Applicant (via voice mail message 3/7/05, 5:45 pm EST) confirmed the error and approved an examiner's amendment to correct the dependency for claim 17 to depend on claim 11. .